NPFL138, Lecture 4



Convolutional Neural Networks

Milan Straka

i March 11, 2024





EUROPEAN UNION European Structural and Investment Fund Operational Programme Research, Development and Education Charles University in Prague Faculty of Mathematics and Physics Institute of Formal and Applied Linguistics



unless otherwise stated

Going Deeper



Going Deeper

NPFL138, Lecture 4

Convolution CNNs

AlexNet Deep Prior

or VGG

2/56

Convolutional Networks

Consider data with some structure (temporal data, speech, images, ...). Unlike densely connected layers, we might want:

- local interactions only;
- shift invariance (equal response everywhere).



UMUP huzon vistopni Jeature délezi un vsech pixelectr...

AlexNet

NPFL138, Lecture 4

Convolution CNNs

Deep Prior

VGG Inception

ResNet



3/56

1D Convolution





2D Convolution





NPFL138, Lecture 4

CNNs Convolution

Deep Prior

VGG

Inception BatchNorm

ResNet

2D Convolution



NPFL138, Lecture 4

Convolution CNNs

AlexNet Deep Prior

VGG Ind

Inception BatchNorm

ResNet

6/56

Convolution Operation

Ú F_AL

For a functions x and w, *convolution* w * x is defined as

$$(w*x)(t)=\int x(t-a)w(a)\,\mathrm{d}a.$$



Convolution Operation

For a functions x and w, convolution w * x is defined as



https://commons.wikimedia.org/wiki/File:Convolution_of_box_signal_with_itself2.gif

BatchNorm

ResNet



NPFL138, Lecture 4

AlexNet VGG Deep Prior Inception 8/56



Convolution Operation

For a functions x and w, *convolution* w * x is defined as

$$(w * x)(t) = \int x(t-a)w(a) \, \mathrm{d}a.$$

 y / zc Visit pomoci FFT
 $(w * x)_t = \sum_i x_{t-i}w_i.$

For vectors, we have

Convolution operation can be generalized to two dimensions by

$$(K * I)_{i,j} = \sum_{m,n} I_{i-m,j-n} K_{m,n}.$$
Closely related is cross-correlation, where K is flipped. With $(K * I)_{i,j} = \sum_{m,n} I_{i+m,j+n} K_{m,n}.$
NPFL138, Lecture 4 Convolution CNNs AlexNet Deep Prior VGG Inception BatchNorm ResNet



9/56

K

- - -

Convolution Layer

Ú FAI

hornel

The K is usually called a **kernel** or a **filter**.

Note that usually we have a whole vector of values for a single pixel, the so-called **channels**. These single pixel channel values have no longer any spacial structure, so the kernel contains a different set of weights for every input dimension, obtaining

$$(\mathsf{K}\star\mathsf{I})_{i,j} = \sum_{m,n,c} \mathsf{I}_{i+m,j+n,c} \mathsf{K}_{m,n,c}$$

Furthermore, we usually want to be able to specify the output dimensionality similarly to for example a fully connected layer – the number of **output channels** for every pixel. Each output channel is then the output of an independent convolution operation, so we can consider K to be a four-dimensional tensor and the convolution if computed as

$$\begin{aligned} & \text{lldybych nél hend lxl,} & (K \star I)_{i,j} = \sum_{m,n,c} ucic si ybn l, holil choi n/stypnich lumli: \\ & \text{thice z tobs} \\$$

Convolution Layer

To arrive at the complete convolution layer, we need to specify:

- the width W and height H of the kernel;
- the stride denoting that every output pixel is computed for every stride-th input pixel (e.g., the output is half the size if stride is 2).

Considering an input image with C channels, the convolution layer is then parametrized by a kernel K of total size $W \times H \times C \times F$ and is computed as

$$(\mathsf{K}\star\mathsf{I})_{i,j,o} = \sum_{m,n,c} \mathsf{I}_{i\cdot S+m,j\cdot S+n,c}\mathsf{K}_{m,n,c,o}.$$

Note that while only local interactions are performed in the image spacial dimensions (width and height), we combine input channels in a fully connected manner.

NPFL138, Lecture 4

Convolution CNNs

AlexNet Deep Prior

VGG Inception

Quaring the is = 11 - 2 and main a three a relianst industry aixely	и И.
	и.
Quarme, že ij = 1,1 -> pri mim o5kreh o velihosti jedustro pixelu	
	, .
Pale lije, o inde untice Wess, liter hade wit when we h	yur con
drojici estupishe a výstapního hundle. A to je hujedan přesně to, e	co delúmo

v dense vistré.

- a hornit to been gives vice huy porice the obsiden editori

Convolution Layer



12/56

There are multiple padding schemes, most common are:

- valid: Only use valid pixels, which causes the result to be smaller than the input.
- same: Pad original image with zero pixels so that the result is exactly the size of the input.

Illustration of the padding schemes and different strides for a 3 imes3 kernel:



Convolution Layer Representation



There are two prevalent image formats (called data_format in Keras):

• channels_last: The dimensions of the 4-dimensional image tensor are batch, height, width, and channels.

The original TensorFlow and Keras format, faster on CPU.

- channels_first: The dimensions of the 4-dimensional image tensor are batch, channel, height, and width.
 - Originally faster on GPUs, nowadays channels_last is faster on newer GPUs; used by PyTorch format.
- In TensorFlow, data is represented using the channels_last approach and the runtime will automatically convert it to channels_first if it is more suitable for available hardware (especially for a GPU).

In PyTorch, you can decide which memory representation you want, with the shape formally being always channels_first.

NPFL138, Lecture 4

Pooling

Ú_F^{AL}

Pooling is an operation similar to convolution, but we perform a fixed operation instead of multiplying by a kernel.

- Max pooling (minor translation invariance)
- Average pooling



NPFL138, Lecture 4

Convolution CNNs

AlexNet Deep Prior

VGG Inception

ResNet

High-level CNN Architecture

We repeatedly use the following block:

- 1. Convolution operation
- 2. Non-linear activation (usually ReLU)

3. Pooling





AlexNet - 2012 (16.4% ILSVRC top-5 error)



Figure 2: An illustration of the architecture of our CNN, explicitly showing the delineation of responsibilities between the two GPUs. One GPU runs the layer-parts at the top of the figure while the other runs the layer-parts at the bottom. The GPUs communicate only at certain layers. The network's input is 150,528-dimensional, and the number of neurons in the network's remaining layers is given by 253,440–186,624–64,896–64,896–43,264–4096–4096–1000.

Figure 2 of "ImageNet Classification with Deep Convolutional Neural Networks" by Alex Krizhevsky et al.

AlexNet Deep Prior

r VGG Ind

AlexNet - 2012 (16.4% ILSVRC top-5 error)

Training details:

- 61M parameters, 2 GPUs for 5-6 days
- SGD with batch size 128, momentum 0.9, L² regularization strength (weight decay) 0.0005
 v ← 0.9 · v − α · ∂L/∂θ − 0.0005 · α · θ
 θ ← θ + v
- initial learning rate 0.01, manually divided by 10 when validation error rate stopped improving
- ReLU nonlinearities
- dropout with rate 0.5 on the fully-connected layers (except for the output layer)
- data augmentation using translations and horizontal reflections (choosing random 224×224 patches from 256×256 images)
 - during inference, 10 patches are used (four corner patches and a center patch, as well as their reflections)

NPFL138, Lecture 4

AlexNet – ReLU vs tanh





Figure 1 of "ImageNet Classification with Deep Convolutional Neural Networks" by Alex Krizhevsky et al.

NPFL138, Lecture 4

Convolution

CNNs AlexNet Deep Prior VGG Inception

18/56

ResNet

BatchNorm

LeNet - 1998

AlexNet built on already existing CNN architectures, mostly on LeNet, which achieved 0.8% test error on MNIST.



NPFL138, Lecture 4

Convolution CNNs

AlexNet Deep Prior

VGG Inception

BatchNorm

ResNet

Similarities in Primary Visual Cortex (V1) and CNNs



Figure 9.18 of "Deep Learning" book, https://www.deeplearningbook.org

ResNet

The primary visual cortex recognizes Gabor functions.

NPFL138, Lecture 4

Convolution CNNs

AlexNet Deep Prior

or VGG

Inception



Similarities in Primary Visual Cortex (V1) and CNNs



Figure 9.19 of "Deep Learning" book, https://www.deeplearningbook.org

Similar functions are recognized in the first layer of a CNN.

NPFL138, Lecture 4

Convolution CNNs

AlexNet Deep Prior

ior VGG

Inception BatchNorm





NPFL138, Lecture 4

Convolution CNNs

Deep Prior

AlexNet

VGG

Inception BatchNorm

ResNet

22/56







Random noise from $U[0, \frac{1}{10}]$ used on input; in large inpainting, meshgrid is used instead and the skip-connections are not used.



Figure 2 of "Deep Image Prior" supplementary materials, https://arxiv.org/abs/1711.10925

NPFL138, Lecture 4

Convolution CNNs

AlexNet Deep Prior

VGG

Inception

BatchNorm ResNet





NPFL138, Lecture 4

Convolution CNNs

AlexNet

t Deep Prior

VGG Inc

Tacy se sit un strictur & texter with about 20 jumn wytwrit tou strictur.

CNNT CARCE CUN IMC IMG

- Ta sit put viden negativ ungenerismit built but textu / simm a at qu'h se Mortho-tuito sunzila untwrit sinn. De to proto, ze ten sim
- je příliš loknígiho a unihritního chamlitem.



-> tady a'l' mile duplinit colodio - a depluike same a sobé pressié to, co jame childe:



Figure 5: Inpainting diversity. Left: original image (black pixels indicate holes). The remaining four images show results obtained using deep prior corresponding to different input vector z.

Figure 5 of "Deep Image Prior" supplementary materials, https://arxiv.org/abs/1711.10925

Deep Prior paper website with supplementary material

NPFL138, Lecture 4

Deep Prior

AlexNet

VGG Inception





Table 2: Number of parameters (in millions).

Network	A,A-LRN	В	С	D	E
Number of parameters	133	133	134	138	144

Figure 2 of "Very Deep Convolutional Networks For Large-Scale Image Recognition", https://arxiv.org/abs/1409.1556

ResNet

		ConvNet C	onfiguration		
А	A-LRN	B	C	D	Е
11 weight	11 weight	13 weight	16 weight	16 weight	19 weight
layers	layers	layers	layers	layers	layers
	i	nput (224×2	24 RGB image	e)	•
conv3-64	conv3-64	conv3-64	conv3-64	conv3-64	conv3-64
	LRN	conv3-64	conv3-64	conv3-64	conv3-64
	•	max	pool	•	•
conv3-128	conv3-128	conv3-128	conv3-128	conv3-128	conv3-128
		conv3-128	conv3-128	conv3-128	conv3-128
		max	pool		
conv3-256	conv3-256	conv3-256	conv3-256	conv3-256	conv3-256
conv3-256	conv3-256	conv3-256	conv3-256	conv3-256	conv3-256
			conv1-256	conv3-256	conv3-256
					conv3-256
		max	pool		
conv3-512	conv3-512	conv3-512	conv3-512	conv3-512	conv3-512
conv3-512	conv3-512	conv3-512	conv3-512	conv3-512	conv3-512
			conv1-512	conv3-512	conv3-512
					conv3-512
			pool		
conv3-512	conv3-512	conv3-512	conv3-512	conv3-512	conv3-512
conv3-512	conv3-512	conv3-512	conv3-512	conv3-512	conv3-512
			conv1-512	conv3-512	conv3-512
					conv3-512
			pool		
			4096		
			4096		
			1000		
		soft	-max		

Figure 1 of "Very Deep Convolutional Networks For Large-Scale Image Recognition", https://arxiv.org/abs/1409.1556

CNNs

Convolution

NPFL138, Lecture 4

AlexNet Deep

Deep Prior

Inception

VGG

Proje samy NAXPODLINC?

Protoic Mayi win night la paregiony, 20 Wende chimin features, the noi anjimi, 20 liter la sméan jae ta informace negue, milosliv

jnh moe je obecné 2nstognum'.

De tady ureité je jublho, prestože v puimém tam moc perví...

Ú F_AL

Training detail similar to AlexNet:

- SGD with batch size 128 256, momentum 0.9, weight decay 0.0005
- initial learning rate 0.01, manually divided by 10 when validation error rate stopped improving
- ReLU nonlinearities
- dropout with rate 0.5 on the fully-connected layers (except for the output layer)
- data augmentation using translations and horizontal reflections (choosing random 224×224 patches from 256×256 images)
 - $^{\circ}$ additionally, a multi-scale training and evaluation was performed. During training, each image was resized so that its smaller size was equal to S, which was sampled uniformly from [256, 512] [level ui yen image viol while she place and the second structure and the second structure
 - $^\circ\,$ during test time, the image was rescaled three times so that the smaller size was 256, 384, 512, respectively, and the results on the three images were averaged

NPFL138, Lecture 4

AlexNet



ConvNet config. (Table 1)	smallest image side		top-1 val. error (%)	top-5 val. error (%)					
	train (S)	test (Q)							
Α	256	256	29.6	10.4					
A-LRN	256	256	29.7	10.5					
В	256	256	28.7	9.9					
	256	256	28.1	9.4					
C	384	384	28.1	9.3					
	[256;512]	384	27.3	8.8					
	256	256	27.0	8.8					
D	384	384	26.8	8.7					
	[256;512]	384	25.6	8.1					
	256	256	27.3	9.0					
E	384	384	26.9	8.7					
	[256;512]	384	25.5	8.0					

Table 3: ConvNet performance at a single test scale.

Table 3 of "Very Deep Convolutional Networks For Large-Scale Image Recognition", https://arxiv.org/abs/1409.1556

ConvNet config. (Table 1)	smallest image side		top-1 val. error (%)	top-5 val. error (%)
	train (S)	test (Q)		
В	256	224,256,288	28.2	9.6
	256	224,256,288	27.7	9.2
C	384	352,384,416	27.8	9.2
	[256; 512]	256,384,512	26.3	8.2
	256	224,256,288	26.6	8.6
D	384	352,384,416	26.5	8.6
	[256; 512]	256,384,512	24.8	7.5
	256	224,256,288	26.9	8.7
Е	384	352,384,416	26.7	8.6
	[256; 512]	256,384,512	24.8	7.5

Table 4: ConvNet performance at multiple test scales.

Table 4 of "Very Deep Convolutional Networks For Large-Scale Image Recognition", https://arxiv.org/abs/1409.1556

NPFL138, Lecture 4

Convolution

CNNs

AlexNet Deep Prior

VGG Inception

ResNet

Ú F _Á l	

Method	top-1 val. error (%)	top-5 val. error (%)	top-5 test error (%)
VGG (2 nets, multi-crop & dense eval.)	23.7	6.8	6.8
VGG (1 net, multi-crop & dense eval.)	24.4	7.1	7.0
VGG (ILSVRC submission, 7 nets, dense eval.)	24.7	7.5	7.3
GoogLeNet (Szegedy et al., 2014) (1 net)	-	7	.9
GoogLeNet (Szegedy et al., 2014) (7 nets)	-	6	.7
MSRA (He et al., 2014) (11 nets)	-	-	8.1
MSRA (He et al., 2014) (1 net)	27.9	9.1	9.1
Clarifai (Russakovsky et al., 2014) (multiple nets)	-	-	11.7
Clarifai (Russakovsky et al., 2014) (1 net)	-	-	12.5
Zeiler & Fergus (Zeiler & Fergus, 2013) (6 nets)	36.0	14.7	14.8
Zeiler & Fergus (Zeiler & Fergus, 2013) (1 net)	37.5	16.0	16.1
OverFeat (Sermanet et al., 2014) (7 nets)	34.0	13.2	13.6
OverFeat (Sermanet et al., 2014) (1 net)	35.7	14.2	-
Krizhevsky et al. (Krizhevsky et al., 2012) (5 nets)	38.1	16.4	16.4
Krizhevsky et al. (Krizhevsky et al., 2012) (1 net)	40.7	18.2	-

Figure 2 of "Very Deep Convolutional Networks For Large-Scale Image Recognition", https://arxiv.org/abs/1409.1556

NPFL138, Lecture 4

Convolution CNNs

AlexNet Deep Prior

VGG Inception

ResNet





Inception block with dimensionality reduction:





	type	patch size/	output	depth	#1×1	#3×3	#3×3	$\#5 \times 5$	#5×5	pool	params	ops
	-7 F -	stride	size		<i>,, = , , ±</i>	reduce	,, ,, ,, ,, ,, ,, ,, ,, ,, ,, ,, ,, ,,	reduce	,,	proj	r	°r~
	convolution	$7 \times 7/2$	$112 \times 112 \times 64$	1							2.7K	34M
	max pool	$3 \times 3/2$	$56 \times 56 \times 64$	0								
	convolution	$3 \times 3/1$	$56\!\times\!56\!\times\!192$	2		64	192				112K	360M
	max pool	$3 \times 3/2$	$28\!\times\!28\!\times\!192$	0								
	inception (3a)		$28 \times 28 \times 256$	2	64	96	128	16	32	32	159K	128M
	inception (3b)		$28 \times 28 \times 480$	2	128	128	192	32	96	64	380K	304M
	max pool	$3 \times 3/2$	$14 \times 14 \times 480$	0								
	inception (4a)		$14 \times 14 \times 512$	2	192	96	208	16	48	64	364K	73M
	inception (4b)		$14 \times 14 \times 512$	2	160	112	224	24	64	64	437K	88M
	inception (4c)		$14 \times 14 \times 512$	2	128	128	256	24	64	64	463K	100M
	inception (4d)		$14 \times 14 \times 528$	2	112	144	288	32	64	64	580K	119M
	inception (4e)		$14 \times 14 \times 832$	2	256	160	320	32	128	128	840K	170M
	max pool	$3 \times 3/2$	$7 \times 7 \times 832$	0								
	inception (5a)		$7 \times 7 \times 832$	2	256	160	320	32	128	128	1072K	54M
	inception (5b)		$7 \times 7 \times 1024$	2	384	192	384	48	128	128	1388K	71M
T	avg pool	$7 \times 7/1$	$1 \times 1 \times 1024$	0								
	dropout (40%)		$1 \times 1 \times 1024$	0								
	linear		$1 \times 1 \times 1000$	1							1000K	1M
	softmax		$1 \times 1 \times 1000$	0								
		ling pres	celý zbyteh m	ion del	it pour	/	Table 1 of "Gol	ing Deeper wi	th Convolution	ns", https:	//arxiv.org/al	os/1409.4842
	8, Lecture 4/		CNNs Ale	exNet	Deep Prior	VGG	Inception			ResNet		

32/56

VGG

Inception







Figure 3 of "Going Deeper with Convolutions", https://arxiv.org/abs/1409.4842

ResNet

BatchNorm

33/56
Inception (GoogLeNet) – 2014 (6.7% ILSVRC top-5 error)



Training details:

- SGD with momentum 0.9
- fixed learning rate schedule of decreasing the learning rate by 4% each 8 epochs
- during test time, the image was rescaled four times so that the smaller size was 256, 288, 320, 352, respectively.

For each image, the left, center and right square was considered, and from each square six crops of size 224×224 were extracted (4 corners, middle crop and the whole scaled-down square) together with their horizontal flips, arriving at $4 \cdot 3 \cdot 6 \cdot 2 = 144$ crops per image

• 7 independently trained models were ensembled

AlexNet Deep Prior

Inception (GoogLeNet) – 2014 (6.7% ILSVRC top-5 error)



Number of models	Number of Crops	Cost	Top-5 error	compared to base
1	1	1	10.07%	base
1	10	10	9.15%	-0.92%
1	144	144	7.89%	-2.18%
7	1	7	8.09%	-1.98%
7	10	70	7.62%	-2.45%
7	144	1008	6.67%	-3.45%

 Table 3 of "Going Deeper with Convolutions", https://arxiv.org/abs/1409.4842

ResNet

NPFL138, Lecture 4

Convolution CNNs

AlexNet Deep Prior

VGG

Inception

Batch Normalization

Internal covariate shift refers to the change in the distributions of hidden node activations due to the updates of network parameters during training.

Let $m{x} = (x_1, \dots, x_d)$ be d-dimensional input. We would like to normalize each dimension as

$$\hat{x}_i = rac{x_i - \mathbb{E}[x_i]}{\sqrt{\operatorname{Var}[x_i]}}.$$

Furthermore, it may be advantageous to learn suitable scale γ_i and shift β_i to produce normalized value

$$y_i = \gamma_i \hat{x}_i + \beta_i.$$
 \longrightarrow $\exists y_i = \beta_i$
 $= a \quad \mu h = hashilain \qquad hashilain \qquad has (y_i) = {\delta_i}^2$

NPFL138, Lecture 4

Convolution CNNs

AlexNet Deep Prior

VGG Inception



Batch Normalization

NPFL138. Lecture 4

Convolution

CNNs

AlexNet



Batch normalization of a mini-batch of m examples $(\boldsymbol{x}^{(1)}, \dots, \boldsymbol{x}^{(m)})$ is the following:

Inputs: Mini-batch $(\boldsymbol{x}^{(1)}, \ldots, \boldsymbol{x}^{(m)})$, $\varepsilon \in \mathbb{R}$ with default value 0.001 **Parameters**: $\boldsymbol{\beta}$ initialized to $\boldsymbol{0}$, $\boldsymbol{\gamma}$ initialized to $\boldsymbol{1}$; both trained by the optimizer **Outputs**: Normalized batch $(\boldsymbol{y}^{(1)}, \ldots, \boldsymbol{y}^{(m)})$

• $\boldsymbol{\mu} \leftarrow \frac{1}{m} \sum_{i=1}^{m} \boldsymbol{x}^{(i)}$ • $\boldsymbol{\sigma}^{2} \leftarrow \frac{1}{m} \sum_{i=1}^{m} (\boldsymbol{x}^{(i)} - \boldsymbol{\mu})^{2}$ • $\hat{\boldsymbol{x}}^{(i)} \leftarrow (\boldsymbol{x}^{(i)} - \boldsymbol{\mu})/\sqrt{\boldsymbol{\sigma}^{2} + \varepsilon}$ • $\boldsymbol{y}^{(i)} \leftarrow \boldsymbol{\gamma} \odot \hat{\boldsymbol{x}}^{(i)} + \boldsymbol{\beta}$ _ > sem when presented bigs

Batch normalization is added just before a nonlinearity f, and it is useless to add bias before it (because it will cancel out). Therefore, we replace f(Wx + b) by

f(BN(Wx)). Mejleper je bins vyhocit, protože je zbytečný, ale dělá to míl bordel... VGG Inception Deep Prior BatchNorm ResNet

Batch Normalization during Inference

Ú_F≩L

During inference, μ and σ^2 are fixed (so that prediction does not depend on other examples in a batch).

They could be precomputed after training on the whole training data, but in practice we estimate $\hat{\mu}$ and $\hat{\sigma}^2$ during training using an exponential moving average.

Additional Inputs: momentum $\tau \in \mathbb{R}$ with default value of 0.99 Additional Parameters: $\hat{\mu}$ initialized to 0, $\hat{\sigma}^2$ initialized to 1; both updated manually

During training, also perform:

- $\hat{\boldsymbol{\mu}} \leftarrow \tau \hat{\boldsymbol{\mu}} + (1-\tau) \boldsymbol{\mu}$
- $\boldsymbol{\hat{\sigma}}^2 \leftarrow \tau \boldsymbol{\hat{\sigma}}^2 + (1-\tau) \boldsymbol{\sigma}^2$

Batch normalization is then during inference computed as:

•
$$\boldsymbol{\hat{x}}^{(i)} \leftarrow (\boldsymbol{x}^{(i)} - \boldsymbol{\hat{\mu}})/\sqrt{\boldsymbol{\hat{\sigma}}^2 + arepsilon}$$

Convolution

• $oldsymbol{y}^{(i)} \leftarrow oldsymbol{\gamma} \odot oldsymbol{\hat{x}}^{(i)} + oldsymbol{eta}$

NPFL138, Lecture 4

t Deep Prior

Batch Normalization

When a batch normalization is used on a fully connected layer, each neuron is normalized individually across the minibatch.

However, for convolutional networks we would like the normalization to honour their properties, most notably the shift invariance. We therefore normalize each channel across not only the minibatch, but also across all corresponding spacial/temporal locations.



Inception with BatchNorm (4.8% ILSVRC top-5 error)





Figure 2: Single crop validation accuracy of Inception and its batch-normalized variants, vs. the number of training steps.

Model	Steps to 72.2%	Max accuracy
Inception	$31.0\cdot 10^6$	72.2%
BN-Baseline	$13.3\cdot 10^6$	72.7%
BN-x5	$2.1\cdot 10^6$	73.0%
BN-x30	$2.7\cdot 10^6$	74.8%
BN-x5-Sigmoid		69.8%

Figure 3: For Inception and the batch-normalized variants, the number of training steps required to reach the maximum accuracy of Inception (72.2%), and the maximum accuracy achieved by the network.

Figures 2 and 3 of "Batch Normalization: Accelerating Deep Network Training by Reducing Internal Covariate Shift", https://arxiv.org/abs/1502.03167

The BN-x5 and BN-x30 use 5/30 times larger initial learning rate, faster learning rate decay, no dropout, weight decay smaller by a factor of 5, and several more minor changes.

NPFL138, Lecture 4

Convolution CNNs

AlexNet Deep Prior





Figure 3 of "Rethinking the Inception Architecture for Computer Vision", https://arxiv.org/abs/1512.00567

NPFL138, Lecture 4

Convolution CNNs

AlexNet Deep Prior

nx1

1xn

nx1

1xn



Figure 5. Inception modules where each 5×5 convolution is replaced by two 3×3 convolution, as suggested by principle 3 of Section 2.

Figure 5 of "Rethinking the Inception Architecture for Computer Vision", https://arxiv.org/abs/1512.00567



nx1

1xn

Filter Concat

1x1

Figure 6. Inception modules after the factorization of the $n \times n$ convolutions. In our proposed architecture, we chose n = 7 for the 17×17 grid. (The filter sizes are picked using principle 3)

Figure 6 of "Rethinking the Inception Architecture for Computer Vision", https://arxiv.org/abs/1512.00567
 Filter Concat

 1x3
 3x1

 3x3
 1x3

 1x1
 1x1

 1x1
 1x1

 Base

Figure 7. Inception modules with expanded the filter bank outputs. This architecture is used on the coarsest (8×8) grids to promote high dimensional representations, as suggested by principle 2 of Section 2. We are using this solution only on the coarsest grid, since that is the place where producing high dimensional sparse representation is the most critical as the ratio of local processing (by 1×1 convolutions) is increased compared to the spatial aggregation.

Figure 7 of "Rethinking the Inception Architecture for Computer Vision", https://arxiv.org/abs/1512.00567

Convolution CNNs

AlexNet Deep Prior

VGG Inception

BatchNorm



type	patch size/stride or remarks	input size
conv	$3 \times 3/2$	$299 \times 299 \times 3$
conv	$3 \times 3/1$	$149 \times 149 \times 32$
conv padded	$3 \times 3/1$	$147 \times 147 \times 32$
pool	$3 \times 3/2$	$147 \times 147 \times 64$
conv	$3 \times 3/1$	$73 \times 73 \times 64$
conv	$3 \times 3/2$	$71 \times 71 \times 80$
conv	$3 \times 3/1$	$35 \times 35 \times 192$
3×Inception	As in figure 5	$35 \times 35 \times 288$
5×Inception	As in figure 6	$17 \times 17 \times 768$
2×Inception	As in figure 7	$8 \times 8 \times 1280$
pool	8×8	$8 \times 8 \times 2048$
linear	logits	$1 \times 1 \times 2048$
softmax	classifier	$1 \times 1 \times 1000$

Table 1 of "Rethinking the Inception Architecture for Computer Vision", https://arxiv.org/abs/1512.00567

NPFL138, Lecture 4

Convolution CNNs

AlexNet Deep Prior

VGG Inception



Training details:

- RMSProp with momentum of eta=0.9 and arepsilon=1.0
- batch size of 32 for 100 epochs
- initial learning rate of 0.045, decayed by 6% every two epochs
- gradient clipping with threshold 2.0 was used to stabilize the training
- label smoothing was first used in this paper, with lpha=0.1
- input image size enlarged to 299×299



Network	Top-1	Top-5	Cost
	Error	Error	Bn Ops
GoogLeNet [20]	29%	9.2%	1.5
BN-GoogLeNet	26.8%	-	1.5
BN-Inception [7]	25.2%	7.8	2.0
Inception-v2	23.4%	-	3.8
Inception-v2			
RMSProp	23.1%	6.3	3.8
Inception-v2			
Label Smoothing	22.8%	6.1	3.8
Inception-v2			
Factorized 7×7	21.6%	5.8	4.8
Inception-v2	21.2%	5.6%	4.8
BN-auxiliary			Т.О

Table 3 of "Rethinking the Inception Architecture for Computer Vision", https://arxiv.org/abs/1512.00567

NPFL138, Lecture 4

Convolution

CNNs

AlexNet Deep Prior

r VGG



Network	Crops	Top-5	Top-1
INCLWOIK	Evaluated	Error	Error
GoogLeNet [20]	10	-	9.15%
GoogLeNet [20]	144	-	7.89%
VGG [18]	-	24.4%	6.8%
BN-Inception [7]	144	22%	5.82%
PReLU [6]	10	24.27%	7.38%
PReLU [6]	-	21.59%	5.71%
Inception-v3	12	19.47%	4.48%
Inception-v3	144	18.77%	4.2%

Table 4 of "Rethinking the Inception Architecture for Computer Vision", https://arxiv.org/abs/1512.00567

Network	Models Evaluated	Crops Evaluated	Top-1 Error	Top-5 Error
VGGNet [18]	2	-	23.7%	6.8%
GoogLeNet [20]	7	144	-	6.67%
PReLU [6]	-	-	-	4.94%
BN-Inception [7]	6	144	20.1%	4.9%
Inception-v3	4	144	17.2%	3.58%*

Table 5 of "Rethinking the Inception Architecture for Computer Vision", https://arxiv.org/abs/1512.00567

NPFL138, Lecture 4

Convolution

CNNs AlexNet Deep Prior





Figure 1. Training error (left) and test error (right) on CIFAR-10 with 20-layer and 56-layer "plain" networks. The deeper network has higher training error, and thus test error. Similar phenomena on ImageNet is presented in Fig. 4.

Figure 1 of "Deep Residual Learning for Image Recognition", https://arxiv.org/abs/1512.03385

ResNet

NPFL138, Lecture 4

AlexNet





Figure 2. Residual learning: a building block.

Figure 2 of "Deep Residual Learning for Image Recognition", https://arxiv.org/abs/1512.03385

NPFL138, Lecture 4

Convolution CNNs

Deep Prior

AlexNet

VGG Inception

BatchNorm





Figure 5. A deeper residual function \mathcal{F} for ImageNet. Left: a building block (on 56×56 feature maps) as in Fig. 3 for ResNet-34. Right: a "bottleneck" building block for ResNet-50/101/152.

NPFL138, Lecture 4

Convolution CNNs AlexNet

Deep Prior

VGG Inception



layer name	output size	18-layer	34-layer	50-layer	101-layer	152-layer
conv1	112×112	7×7, 64, stride 2				
				3×3 max pool, stric	le 2	
conv2_x	56×56	$\left[\begin{array}{c} 3\times3, 64\\ 3\times3, 64 \end{array}\right]\times2$	$\left[\begin{array}{c} 3\times3, 64\\ 3\times3, 64\end{array}\right]\times3$	$\begin{bmatrix} 1 \times 1, 64 \\ 3 \times 3, 64 \\ 1 \times 1, 256 \end{bmatrix} \times 3$	$\begin{bmatrix} 1 \times 1, 64 \\ 3 \times 3, 64 \\ 1 \times 1, 256 \end{bmatrix} \times 3$	$\begin{bmatrix} 1 \times 1, 64 \\ 3 \times 3, 64 \\ 1 \times 1, 256 \end{bmatrix} \times 3$
conv3_x	28×28	$\left[\begin{array}{c} 3\times3,128\\ 3\times3,128\end{array}\right]\times2$	$\left[\begin{array}{c} 3\times3,128\\ 3\times3,128\end{array}\right]\times4$	$\begin{bmatrix} 1 \times 1, 128 \\ 3 \times 3, 128 \\ 1 \times 1, 512 \end{bmatrix} \times 4$	$\begin{bmatrix} 1 \times 1, 128 \\ 3 \times 3, 128 \\ 1 \times 1, 512 \end{bmatrix} \times 4$	$\begin{bmatrix} 1 \times 1, 128 \\ 3 \times 3, 128 \\ 1 \times 1, 512 \end{bmatrix} \times 8$
conv4_x	14×14	$\left[\begin{array}{c} 3\times3,256\\ 3\times3,256\end{array}\right]\times2$	$\left[\begin{array}{c} 3\times3,256\\ 3\times3,256\end{array}\right]\times6$	$\begin{bmatrix} 1 \times 1, 256 \\ 3 \times 3, 256 \\ 1 \times 1, 1024 \end{bmatrix} \times 6$	$\begin{bmatrix} 1 \times 1, 256 \\ 3 \times 3, 256 \\ 1 \times 1, 1024 \end{bmatrix} \times 23$	$\begin{bmatrix} 1 \times 1, 256 \\ 3 \times 3, 256 \\ 1 \times 1, 1024 \end{bmatrix} \times 36$
conv5_x	7×7	$\left[\begin{array}{c} 3\times3,512\\ 3\times3,512\end{array}\right]\times2$	$\left[\begin{array}{c} 3\times3,512\\ 3\times3,512\end{array}\right]\times3$	$\begin{bmatrix} 1 \times 1, 512 \\ 3 \times 3, 512 \\ 1 \times 1, 2048 \end{bmatrix} \times 3$	$\begin{bmatrix} 1 \times 1, 512 \\ 3 \times 3, 512 \\ 1 \times 1, 2048 \end{bmatrix} \times 3$	$\begin{bmatrix} 1 \times 1, 512 \\ 3 \times 3, 512 \\ 1 \times 1, 2048 \end{bmatrix} \times 3$
	1×1		average pool, 1000-d fc, softmax			
FLO	OPs	1.8×10^{9}	3.6×10^9	3.8×10^9	7.6×10^9	11.3×10^9

Table 1 of "Deep Residual Learning for Image Recognition", https://arxiv.org/abs/1512.03385

ResNet

NPFL138, Lecture 4

Convolution CNNs

AlexNet Deep Prior

VGG Inception

50/56





The residual connections cannot be applied directly when number of channels increases.

The authors considered several alternatives, and chose the one where in case of channels increase a 1×1 convolution + BN is used on the projections to match the required number of channels. The required spacial resolution is achieved by using stride 2.

Figure 3 of "Deep Residual Learning for Image Recognition", https://arxiv.org/abs/1512.03385

Convolution CNNs

AlexNet Deep Prior

VGG Inception

51/56





Figure 4. Training on **ImageNet**. Thin curves denote training error, and bold curves denote validation error of the center crops. Left: plain networks of 18 and 34 layers. Right: ResNets of 18 and 34 layers. In this plot, the residual networks have no extra parameter compared to their plain counterparts.

Figure 4 of "Deep Residual Learning for Image Recognition", https://arxiv.org/abs/1512.03385

ResNet

NPFL138, Lecture 4

Convolution CNNs

AlexNet Deep Prior





NPFL138, Lecture 4

Convolution CNNs

AlexNet Deep Prior

VGG

Inception BatchNorm

Norm ResNet

ÚFÁ

Training details:

- batch normalizations after each convolution and before activation
- SGD with batch size 256 and momentum of 0.9
- learning rate starts with 0.1 and "is divided by 10 when the error plateaus"
 - \circ 600k training iterations are used (120 epochs, each containing 1.281M images)
 - according to one graph (and to their later paper) they decay at 25% and 50% of the training, so after epochs 30 and 60
 - other concurrent papers also use exponential decay or 25%-50%-75%
- no dropout, weight decay 0.0001
- during training, an image is resized with its shorter side randomly sampled in the range [256,480], and a random 224×224 crop is used
- during testing, 10-crop evaluation strategy is used
 - $^{\circ}$ for the best results, the scores across multiple scales are averaged the images are resized so that their smaller size is in $\{224, 256, 384, 480, 640\}$

NPFL138, Lecture 4



method	top-1 err.	top-5 err.
VGG [41] (ILSVRC'14)	-	8.43 [†]
GoogLeNet [44] (ILSVRC'14)	-	7.89
VGG [41] (v5)	24.4	7.1
PReLU-net [13]	21.59	5.71
BN-inception [16]	21.99	5.81
ResNet-34 B	21.84	5.71
ResNet-34 C	21.53	5.60
ResNet-50	20.74	5.25
ResNet-101	19.87	4.60
ResNet-152	19.38	4.49

method	top-5 err. (test)
VGG [41] (ILSVRC'14)	7.32
GoogLeNet [44] (ILSVRC'14)	6.66
VGG [41] (v5)	6.8
PReLU-net [13]	4.94
BN-inception [16]	4.82
ResNet (ILSVRC'15)	3.57

Table 5. Error rates (%) of **ensembles**. The top-5 error is on the test set of ImageNet and reported by the test server.

Table 5 of "Deep Residual Learning for Image Recognition", https://arxiv.org/abs/1512.03385

Table 4. Error rates (%) of **single-model** results on the ImageNet validation set (except [†] reported on the test set). *Table 4 of "Deep Residual Learning for Image Recognition", https://arxiv.org/abs/1512.03385*

The ResNet-34 B uses the 1×1 convolution on residual connections with different number of input and output channels; ResNet-34 C uses this convolution on all residual connections. Variant B is used for ResNet-50/101/152.

NPFL138, Lecture 4

AlexNet Deep Prior

Main Takeaways



- Convolutions can provide
 - local interactions in spacial/temporal dimensions
 - shift invariance
 - \circ *much* less parameters than a fully connected layer
- Usually repeated 3 imes 3 convolutions are enough, no need for larger filter sizes.
- When pooling is performed, double the number of channels (i.e., the first convolution following the pooling layer will have twice as many output channels).
- If your network is deep enough (the last hidden neurons have a large receptive fields), final fully connected layers are not needed, and global average pooling is enough.
- Batch normalization is a great regularization method for CNNs, allowing removal/decrease of dropout and L^2 regularization.
- Small weight decay (i.e., L^2 regularization) of usually 1e-4 is still useful for regularizing convolutional kernels.

NPFL138, Lecture 4