# NPFL129, Lecture 4



# Multiclass Logistic Regression, Multilayer Perceptron

Milan Straka

**i** October 24, 2022



Charles University in Prague Faculty of Mathematics and Physics Institute of Formal and Applied Linguistics



unless otherwise stated

# **Logistic Regression**



An extension of perceptron, which models the conditional probabilities of  $p(C_0|\boldsymbol{x})$  and of  $p(C_1|\boldsymbol{x})$ . Logistic regression can in fact handle also more than two classes, which we will see shortly.

Logistic regression employs the following parametrization of the conditional class probabilities:

$$egin{aligned} p(C_1 | oldsymbol{x}) &= \sigma(oldsymbol{x}^T oldsymbol{w} + b) \ p(C_0 | oldsymbol{x}) &= 1 - p(C_1 | oldsymbol{x}), \end{aligned}$$



It can be trained using the SGD algorithm.

MSE as MLE MulticlassLogisticReg

MLP UniversalApproximation

# Logistic Regression

We denote the output of the "linear part" of the logistic regression as  $ar{y}(m{x};m{w})=m{x}^Tm{w}$  and the overall prediction as  $y(\boldsymbol{x}; \boldsymbol{w}) = \sigma(\bar{y}(\boldsymbol{x}; \boldsymbol{w})) = \sigma(\boldsymbol{x}^T \boldsymbol{w}).$ 

The logistic regression output  $y(\boldsymbol{x}; \boldsymbol{w})$  models the probability of class  $C_1$ ,  $p(C_1 | \boldsymbol{x})$ .

To give some meaning to the output of the linear part  $\bar{y}(\boldsymbol{x};\boldsymbol{w})$ , starting with

$$p(C_1|oldsymbol{x}) = \sigma(ar{y}(oldsymbol{x};oldsymbol{w})) = rac{1}{1+e^{-ar{y}(oldsymbol{x};oldsymbol{w})}},$$

we arrive at

$$ar{y}(oldsymbol{x};oldsymbol{w}) = \log\left(rac{p(C_1|oldsymbol{x})}{1-p(C_1|oldsymbol{x})}
ight) = \log\left(rac{p(C_1|oldsymbol{x})}{p(C_0|oldsymbol{x})}
ight),$$

which is called a **logit** and it is a logarithm of odds of the probabilities of the two classes.

Refresh GLM



# **Logistic Regression**

Ú F<sub>Å</sub>L

To train the logistic regression, we use MLE (the maximum likelihood estimation). Its application is straightforward, given that  $p(C_1|\boldsymbol{x}; \boldsymbol{w})$  is directly the model output  $y(\boldsymbol{x}; \boldsymbol{w})$ .

Therefore, the loss for a minibatch  $\mathbb{X} = \{(\boldsymbol{x}_1, t_1), (\boldsymbol{x}_2, t_2), \dots, (\boldsymbol{x}_N, t_N)\}$  is

$$E(oldsymbol{w}) = rac{1}{N}\sum_i -\log(p(C_{t_i}|oldsymbol{x}_i;oldsymbol{w})).$$

Input: Input dataset ( $m{X} \in \mathbb{R}^{N imes D}$ ,  $m{t} \in \{0,+1\}^N$ ), learning rate  $lpha \in \mathbb{R}^+$ .

- $oldsymbol{w} \leftarrow oldsymbol{0}$  or we initialize  $oldsymbol{w}$  randomly
- until convergence (or patience runs out), process a minibatch of examples  $\mathbb{B}$ :

$$\circ ~~ oldsymbol{g} \leftarrow rac{1}{|\mathbb{B}|} \sum_{i \in \mathbb{B}} 
abla_{oldsymbol{w}} \Big( -\logig( p(C_{t_i} | oldsymbol{x}_i; oldsymbol{w}) ig) \Big)$$

 $\circ \boldsymbol{w} \leftarrow \boldsymbol{w} - lpha \boldsymbol{g}$ 

#### Linearity in Logistic Regression





#### **Generalized Linear Models**

The logistic regression is in fact an extended linear regression. A linear regression model, which is followed by some **activation function** a, is called **generalized linear model**:

$$p(t|oldsymbol{x};oldsymbol{w},b) = y(oldsymbol{x};oldsymbol{w},b) = aig(oldsymbol{x};oldsymbol{w},b)ig) = a(oldsymbol{x}^Toldsymbol{w}+b).$$

Name	Activation	Distribution	Loss	Gradient
linear regression	identity	?	$\mathrm{MSE} \propto \mathbb{E}(y(oldsymbol{x}) - t)^2$	$ig(y(oldsymbol{x})-tig)oldsymbol{x}$
logistic regression	$\sigma(ar{y})$	Bernoulli	$ ext{NLL} \propto \mathbb{E} - \log(p(t m{x}))$	?

MSE as MLE MulticlassLo



# **Logistic Regression Gradient**

We start by computing the gradient of the  $\sigma(x)$ .

$$egin{aligned} &rac{\partial}{\partial x}\sigma(x)=rac{\partial}{\partial x}rac{1}{1+e^{-x}}\ &=rac{rac{\partial}{\partial x}-(1+e^{-x})}{(1+e^{-x})^2}\ &=rac{1}{1+e^{-x}}\cdotrac{e^{-x}}{1+e^{-x}}\ &=\sigma(x)\cdotrac{e^{-x}+1-1}{1+e^{-x}}\ &=\sigma(x)\cdotig(1-\sigma(x)ig) \end{aligned}$$

$$egin{aligned} &rac{\partial}{\partial x}rac{1}{g(x)}=-rac{rac{\partial}{\partial x}g(x)}{g(x)^2}\ &rac{\partial}{\partial x}e^{g(x)}=e^{g(x)}\cdotrac{\partial}{\partial x}g(x) \end{aligned}$$

NPFL129, Lecture 4

Refresh GLM

MSE as MLE Multiclass

MulticlassLogisticReg PoissonReg

MLP Uni



# **Logistic Regression Gradient**

Ú FÂ

Consider the log-likelihood of logistic regression  $\log p(t|\boldsymbol{x}; \boldsymbol{w})$ . For brevity, we denote  $\bar{y}(\boldsymbol{x}; \boldsymbol{w}) = \boldsymbol{x}^T \boldsymbol{w}$  just as  $\bar{y}$  in the following computation.

Remembering that for  $t \sim \text{Ber}(\varphi)$  we have  $p(t) = \varphi^t (1 - \varphi)^{1-t}$ , we can rewrite the log-likelihood to:

NPFL129, Lecture 4

Refresh GLM

MSE as MLE MulticlassLogisticReg

gisticReg PoissonReg

# **Logistic Regression Gradient**

#### **Generalized Linear Models**

The logistic regression is in fact an extended linear regression. A linear regression model, which is followed by some **activation function** a, is called **generalized linear model**:

$$p(t|oldsymbol{x};oldsymbol{w},b) = y(oldsymbol{x};oldsymbol{w},b) = aig(oldsymbol{x};oldsymbol{w},b)ig) = a(oldsymbol{x}^Toldsymbol{w}+b).$$

Name	Activation	Distribution	Loss	Gradient
linear regression	identity	?	$\mathrm{MSE} \propto \mathbb{E}(y(oldsymbol{x}) - t)^2$	$ig(y(oldsymbol{x})-tig)oldsymbol{x}$
logistic regression	$\sigma(ar{y})$	Bernoulli	$\mathrm{NLL} \propto \mathbb{E} - \log(p(t oldsymbol{x}))$	$ig(y(oldsymbol{x})-tig)oldsymbol{x}$

MSE as MLE MulticlassLogisticReg

LogisticReg PoissonReg

1



# Mean Square Error as MLE

<sup>Ú</sup>F<sub>A</sub>L

During regression, we predict a number, not a real probability distribution. In order to generate a distribution, we might consider a distribution with the mean of the predicted value and a fixed variance  $\sigma^2$  – the most general such a distribution is the normal distribution.



https://upload.wikimedia.org/wikipedia/commons/3/3a/Linear\_regression.svg

NPFL129, Lecture 4

Refresh GLM

MSE as MLE MulticlassLogisticReg

issLogisticReg PoissonReg

UniversalApproximation

MLP

11/34

# Mean Square Error as MLE



Therefore, assume our model generates a distribution  $p(t|m{x};m{w}) = \mathcal{N}(t;y(m{x};m{w}),\sigma^2)$ . Now we can apply the maximum likelihood estimation and get  $rg\max_{oldsymbol{w}} p(oldsymbol{t} | oldsymbol{X}; oldsymbol{w}) = rg\min_{oldsymbol{w}} \sum_{i=1}^{-1} -\log p(t_i | oldsymbol{x}_i; oldsymbol{w})$ NORMAL DISTRIBUTION  $= rgmin_{oldsymbol{w}} - \sum_{i=1}^N \log \sqrt{rac{1}{2\pi\sigma^2}} e^{-rac{(t_i-y(oldsymbol{x}_i;oldsymbol{w}))^2}{2\sigma^2}}$ PARANORMAL DISTRIBUTION  $= rgmin_{m{w}} - N\log(2\pi\sigma^2)^{-1/2} - \sum_{i=1}^N -rac{ig(t_i - y(m{x}_i;m{w})ig)^2}{2\sigma^2}$  htt  $u = rgmin_{oldsymbol{w}} \sum_{i=1}^{N} rac{ig(t_i - y(oldsymbol{x}_i;oldsymbol{w})ig)^2}{2\sigma^2} = rgmin_{oldsymbol{w}} rac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^{N} ig(y(oldsymbol{x}_i;oldsymbol{w}) - t_iig)^2.$ 

NPFL129, Lecture 4

Refresh GLM

MSE as MLE MulticlassLo

MulticlassLogisticReg Pois

UniversalApproximation

12/34

# **Generalized Linear Models**



#### We have therefore extended the GLM table to

Name	Activation	Distribution	Loss	Gradient
linear regression	identity	Normal	$\mathrm{NLL} \propto \mathrm{MSE}$	$ig(y(oldsymbol{x})-tig)oldsymbol{x}$
logistic regression	$\sigma(ar{y})$	Bernoulli	$\mathrm{NLL} \propto \mathbb{E} - \log(p(t oldsymbol{x}))$	$ig(y(oldsymbol{x})-tig)oldsymbol{x}$

MSE as MLE MulticlassL

MulticlassLogisticReg PoissonReg

Ú F<sub>A</sub>L

To extend the binary logistic regression to a multiclass case with K classes, we:

• generate K outputs, each with its own set of weights, so that for  $oldsymbol{W} \in \mathbb{R}^{D imes K}$ ,

The resulting classifier is also known as **multinomial logistic regression**, **maximum entropy classifier** or **softmax regression**.

NPFL129, Lecture 4

GLM MSE as MLE

Refresh

MulticlassLogisticReg

Ú F<sub>Á</sub>L

Using the softmax function, we naturally define that

$$p(C_i | oldsymbol{x}; oldsymbol{W}) = oldsymbol{y}(oldsymbol{x}; oldsymbol{W})_i = ext{softmax}ig(oldsymbol{x}; oldsymbol{W})_i = ext{softmax}ig(oldsymbol{x}^T oldsymbol{W})_i = rac{e^{(oldsymbol{x}^T oldsymbol{W})_i}}{\sum_j e^{(oldsymbol{x}^T oldsymbol{W})_j}}.$$

Considering the definition of the softmax function, it is natural to obtain the interpretation of the linear part of the model  $\bar{y}(x; W)$  as **logits** by computing a logarithm of the above:

$$ar{oldsymbol{y}}(oldsymbol{x};oldsymbol{W})_i = \log(p(C_i|oldsymbol{x};oldsymbol{W})) + c.$$

The constant c is present, because the output of the model is *overparametrized* (for example, the probability of the last class could be computed from the remaining ones). This is connected to the fact that softmax is invariant to addition of a constant:

$$ext{softmax}(oldsymbol{z}+c)_i = rac{e^{z_i+c}}{\sum_j e^{z_j+c}} = rac{e^{z_i}}{\sum_j e^{z_j}} \cdot rac{e^c}{e^c} = ext{softmax}(oldsymbol{z})_i.$$

NPFL129, Lecture 4

Refresh

5 MLE

MLP

Ú FA

The difference between softmax and sigmoid output can be compared on the binary case, where the binary logistic regression outputs of the linear part of the model are

$$ar{y}(oldsymbol{x};oldsymbol{w}) = \log\left(rac{p(C_1|oldsymbol{x};oldsymbol{w})}{p(C_0|oldsymbol{x};oldsymbol{w})}
ight),$$

while the outputs of the softmax variant with two outputs can be interpreted as  $ar{m{y}}(m{x};m{W})_0 = \log(p(C_0|m{x};m{W})) + c$  and  $ar{m{y}}(m{x};m{W})_1 = \log(p(C_1|m{x};m{W})) + c$ .

If we consider  $\bar{y}(x; W)_0$  to be zero, the model can then predict only the probability  $p(C_1|x)$ , and the constant c is fixed to  $-\log(p(C_0|x; W))$ , recovering the original interpretation.

Generalizing to a K-class classification, we could produce only K-1 outputs and define  $\bar{y}_0 = 0$ , resulting in the interpretation of the linear part outputs analogous to the binary case:

$$ar{oldsymbol{y}}(oldsymbol{x};oldsymbol{W})_i = \log\left(rac{p(C_i|oldsymbol{x};oldsymbol{W})}{p(C_0|oldsymbol{x};oldsymbol{W})}
ight).$$

NPFL129, Lecture 4

Refresh GLM M

MSE as MLE Multic

MulticlassLogisticReg PoissonReg

MLP



To train K-class classification, analogously to the binary logistic regression we can use MLE and train the model using minibatch stochastic gradient descent:

Input: Input dataset ( $X \in \mathbb{R}^{N \times D}$ ,  $t \in \{0, 1, \dots, K-1\}^N$ ), learning rate  $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}^+$ . Model: Let w denote all parameters of the model (in our case, the parameters are a weight matrix W and maybe a bias vector b).

- $oldsymbol{w} \leftarrow oldsymbol{0}$  or we initialize  $oldsymbol{w}$  randomly
- until convergence (or patience runs out), process a minibatch of examples  $\mathbb{B}$ :

$$egin{array}{lll} \circ ~~ oldsymbol{g} \leftarrow rac{1}{|\mathbb{B}|} \sum_{i \in \mathbb{B}} 
abla_{oldsymbol{w}} \Big( -\logig( p(C_{t_i} | oldsymbol{x}_i; oldsymbol{w}) ig) \Big) \ \circ ~~ oldsymbol{w} \leftarrow oldsymbol{w} - lpha oldsymbol{g} \end{array}$$

NPFL129, Lecture 4

Note that the decision regions of the binary/multiclass logistic regression are convex (and therefore connected).

To see this, consider  $\boldsymbol{x}_A$  and  $\boldsymbol{x}_B$  in the same decision region  $R_k$ .

Any point  $\boldsymbol{x}$  lying on the line connecting them is their convex combination,  $\boldsymbol{x} = \lambda \boldsymbol{x}_A + (1 - \lambda) \boldsymbol{x}_B$ , and from the linearity of  $\boldsymbol{\bar{y}}(\boldsymbol{x}) = \boldsymbol{x}^T \boldsymbol{W}$  it follows that

$$ar{oldsymbol{y}}(oldsymbol{x}) = \lambdaar{oldsymbol{y}}(oldsymbol{x}_A) + (1-\lambda)ar{oldsymbol{y}}(oldsymbol{x}_B).$$



Given that  $\bar{\boldsymbol{y}}(\boldsymbol{x}_A)_k$  was the largest among  $\bar{\boldsymbol{y}}(\boldsymbol{x}_A)$  and also given that  $\bar{\boldsymbol{y}}(\boldsymbol{x}_B)_k$  was the largest among  $\bar{\boldsymbol{y}}(\boldsymbol{x}_B)$ , it must be the case that  $\bar{\boldsymbol{y}}(\boldsymbol{x})_k$  is the largest among all  $\bar{\boldsymbol{y}}(\boldsymbol{x})$ .

Refresh GLM

MSE as MLE MulticlassL

MulticlassLogisticReg PoissonReg



# **Generalized Linear Models**

The multiclass logistic regression can now be added to the GLM table:

Name	Activation	Distribution	Loss	Gradient
linear regression	identity	Normal	$\rm NLL \propto MSE$	$ig(y(oldsymbol{x})-tig)oldsymbol{x}$
logistic regression	$\sigma(ar{y})$	Bernoulli	$\mathrm{NLL} \propto \mathbb{E} - \log(p(t oldsymbol{x}))$	$ig(y(oldsymbol{x})-tig)oldsymbol{x}$
multiclass logistic regression	$\operatorname{softmax}(oldsymbol{ar{y}})$	categorical	$ ext{NLL} \propto \mathbb{E} - \log(p(t oldsymbol{x}))$	$ig((oldsymbol{y}(oldsymbol{x}) - oldsymbol{1}_t)oldsymbol{x}^Tig)^T$

Recall that  $\mathbf{1}_t = \left([i=t]\right)_{i=0}^{K-1}$  is one-hot representation of target  $t \in \{0, 1, \dots, K-1\}$ . The gradient  $\left((\boldsymbol{y}(\boldsymbol{x}) - \mathbf{1}_t)\boldsymbol{x}^T\right)^T$  can be of course also computed as  $\boldsymbol{x}(\boldsymbol{y}(\boldsymbol{x}) - \mathbf{1}_t)^T$ .

NPFL129, Lecture 4

Refresh GLM

MSE as MLE MulticlassLe

MulticlassLogisticReg PoissonReg

MLP

# **Poisson Regression**



Several other GLMs exist, we now describe a final one, this time for regression and not for classification. Compared to regular linear regression, where we assume the output distribution is normal, we turn our attention to **Poisson distribution**.

# **Poisson Distribution**

Poisson distribution is a discrete distribution suitable for modeling the probability of a given number of events occurring in a fixed time interval, if these events occur at a known rate and independently of each other.

$$P(\mathrm{x}=k;\lambda)=rac{\lambda^k e^{-\lambda}}{k!}$$
 .

It is easy to show that if  $\mathbf{x}$  has Poisson distribution,

$$\mathbb{E}[x] = \lambda \ \mathrm{Var}(x) = \lambda$$



20/34

Refresh GLM

MSE as MLE MulticlassI

MulticlassLogisticReg

PoissonReg MLP UniversalApproximation

# **Poisson Distribution Derivation**

The Poisson distribution can be obtained as a limit of the binomial distribution. Assume we are considering n independent events, each with probability  $p_n$ , and that  $np_n$  converges to  $\lambda$ . Then

$$\lim_{n o\infty}inom{n}{k}p_n^k(1-p_n)^{n-k}=e^{-\lambda}rac{\lambda^k}{k!}.$$

$$egin{aligned} &\lim_{n o \infty} inom{n}{k} p_n^k (1-p_n)^{n-k} = \lim_{n o \infty} rac{n(n-1)(n-2)\cdots(n-k+1)}{k!} \left(rac{\lambda}{n}
ight)^k \left(1-rac{\lambda}{n}
ight)^{n-k} \ &= \lim_{n o \infty} rac{n^k + \mathcal{O}(n^{k-1})}{k!} rac{\lambda^k}{n^k} \left(1-rac{\lambda}{n}
ight)^{n-k} = \lim_{n o \infty} rac{\lambda^k}{k!} \left(1-rac{\lambda}{n}
ight)^{n-k} \end{aligned}$$

and the result follows, since  $\lim_{n \to \infty} \left(1 - \frac{\lambda}{n}\right)^n = e^{-\lambda}$  and  $\lim_{n \to \infty} \left(1 - \frac{\lambda}{n}\right)^{-k} = 1$ .

NPFL129, Lecture 4

Refresh GLM I

MSE as MLE MulticlassLogisticReg

ogisticReg PoissonReg



# **Poisson Distribution**

Ú F<sub>A</sub>L

An important difference compared to the normal distribution is that the latter assumes that the variance does not depend on the mean, i.e., that the model "makes errors of the same magnitude everywhere".

On the other hand, the variance of a Poisson distribution increases with the mean. It is useful if we want to measure error relatively, not as an absolute difference.



NPFL129, Lecture 4

Refresh GLM

MSE as MLE Multicl

MulticlassLogisticReg PoissonReg

 ${\sf Universal} {\sf Approximation}$ 

MLP

# **Poisson Regression**

Ú F<sub>A</sub>L

Poisson regression is a generalized linear model producing a Poisson distribution (i.e., the mean rate  $\lambda$ ).

Again, we use NLL as the loss. To choose a suitable activation, we might be interested in obtaining the same gradient as for other GLMs – solving for an activation function while requiring the gradient to be  $(a(\bar{y}(\boldsymbol{x})) - t) \cdot \boldsymbol{x}$  yields  $a(\bar{y}) = \exp(\bar{y})$ , which means the linear part of the model is predicting  $\log(\lambda)$ .

Name	Activation	Distribution	Loss	Gradient
linear regression	identity	Normal	$ m NLL \propto MSE$	$ig(y(oldsymbol{x})-tig)oldsymbol{x}$
logistic regression	$\sigma(ar{y})$	Bernoulli	$\mathrm{NLL} \propto \mathbb{E} - \log(p(t oldsymbol{x}))$	$ig(y(oldsymbol{x})-tig)oldsymbol{x}$
multiclass logistic regression	$\operatorname{softmax}(ar{oldsymbol{y}})$	categorical	$ ext{NLL} \propto \mathbb{E} - \log(p(t m{x}))$	$ig((oldsymbol{y}(oldsymbol{x})-oldsymbol{1}_t)oldsymbol{x}^Tig)^T$
Poisson regression	$\exp(ar{y})$	Poisson	$\mathrm{NLL} \propto \mathbb{E} - \log(p(t oldsymbol{x}))$	$ig(y(oldsymbol{x})-tig)oldsymbol{x}$

Refresh

# **Multilayer Perceptron**

We can reformulate the generalized linear models in the following framework.

- Assume we have an input node for every input feature.
- Additionally, we have an output node for every model output (one for linear regression or binary classification, Kfor classification in K classes).
- Every input node and output node are connected with a directed edge, and every edge has an associated weight.
- Value of every (output) node is computed by summing the values of predecessors multiplied by the corresponding weights, added to a bias of this node, and finally passed through an activation function a:

 $y_i = a\left(\sum_j x_j w_{j,i} + b_i
ight)$ 



njúciení louhvétní hung výpoch výstypu or in matrix form  $m{y} = a(m{x}^T m{W} + m{b})$ , or for a batch of examples  $m{X}$ ,  $m{Y} = a(m{X} m{W} + m{b})$ .

NPFL129. Lecture 4

MulticlassLogisticReg

PoissonReg

#### **Multilayer Perceptron**

We now extend the model by adding a **hidden layer** with activation f.

• The computation is performed analogously:

$$h_i = f\left(\sum_j x_j w_{j,i}^{(h)} + b_i^{(h)}
ight), \ y_i = a\left(\sum_j h_j w_{j,i}^{(y)} + b_i^{(y)}
ight),$$

or in matrix form

$$oldsymbol{h} = fig(oldsymbol{x}^Toldsymbol{W}^{(h)} + oldsymbol{b}^{(h)}ig), \ oldsymbol{y} = aig(oldsymbol{h}^Toldsymbol{W}^{(y)} + oldsymbol{b}^{(y)}ig),$$



and for batch of inputs  $oldsymbol{H}=f\Big(oldsymbol{X}oldsymbol{W}^{(h)}+oldsymbol{b}^{(h)}\Big)$  and  $oldsymbol{Y}=a\Big(oldsymbol{H}oldsymbol{W}^{(y)}+oldsymbol{b}^{(y)}\Big).$ 

NPFL129, Lecture 4

Refresh GLM MS

MSE as MLE MulticlassLogisticReg

isticReg PoissonReg

Ú F<sub>A</sub>L

# **Multilayer Perceptron**

Note that:

- the structure of the *input* layer depends on the input features;
- the structure and the *activation* function of the *output* layer depends on the target data;
- however, the *hidden* layer has no pre-image in the data and is completely arbitrary which is the reason why it is called a *hidden* layer.

Also note that we can absorb biases into weights analogously to the generalized linear models.



MSE as MLE MulticlassLogisticReg

PoissonReg

# **Output Layer Activation Functions**



# **Output Layer Activation Functions**

- regression:
  - identity activation: we model normal distribution on output (linear regression)
  - $\circ \exp(x)$ : we model Poisson distribution on output (Poisson regression)
- binary classification:

 $\circ \sigma(x)$ : we model the Bernoulli distribution (the model predicts a probability)

$$\sigma(x) \stackrel{ ext{\tiny def}}{=} rac{1}{1+e^{-x}}$$

• *K*-class classification:

 $\circ~\operatorname{softmax}(\boldsymbol{x})$ : we model the (usually overparametrized) categorical distribution

$$ext{softmax}(oldsymbol{x}) \propto e^{oldsymbol{x}}, \hspace{1em} ext{softmax}(oldsymbol{x})_i \stackrel{ ext{def}}{=} rac{e^{oldsymbol{x}_i}}{\sum_j e^{oldsymbol{x}_j}}$$

NPFL129, Lecture 4

Refresh GLM

# **Hidden Layer Activation Functions**

# **Hidden Layer Activation Functions**

- no activation (identity): does not help, composition of linear mapping is a linear mapping
- $\sigma$  (but works suboptimally nonsymmetrical,  $rac{d\sigma}{dx}(0)=1/4)$
- tanh
  - $^{\circ}\;$  result of making  $\sigma$  symmetrical and making derivation in zero 1  $^{\circ}\; anh(x) = 2\sigma(2x) - 1$
- ReLU
  - $\circ \max(0,x)$
  - the most common nonlinear activation used nowadays



Refresh GLM

MSE as MLE MulticlassLogisticReg

isticReg PoissonReg

MLP

# **Training MLP**



The multilayer perceptron can be trained using again a minibatch SGD algorithm:

**Input**: Input dataset ( $X \in \mathbb{R}^{N \times D}$ , t targets), learning rate  $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}^+$ . **Model**: Let w denote all parameters of the model (all weight matrices and bias vectors).

- ullet initialize  $oldsymbol{w}$ 
  - $^{\circ}$  set weights randomly
    - for a weight matrix processing a layer of size M to a layer of size O, we can sample its elements uniformly for example from the  $\left[-\frac{1}{\sqrt{M}}, \frac{1}{\sqrt{M}}\right]$  range
    - the exact range becomes more important for networks with many hidden layers
  - $^{\circ}$  set biases to 0
- until convergence (or patience runs out), process a minibatch of examples  $\mathbb{B}$ :

$$^{\circ}~~oldsymbol{g} \leftarrow rac{1}{|\mathbb{B}|} \sum_{i \in \mathbb{B}} 
abla_{oldsymbol{w}} \Big( -\logig( p(t_i | oldsymbol{x}_i; oldsymbol{w}) ig) \Big)$$

 $\circ \boldsymbol{w} \leftarrow \boldsymbol{w} - \alpha \boldsymbol{g}$ 

Refresh

NPFL129, Lecture 4

# **Training MLP – Computing the Derivatives**

Assume we have an MLP with input of size D, weights  $W^{(h)} \in \mathbb{R}^{D \times H}$ ,  $\boldsymbol{b}^{(h)} \in \mathbb{R}^{H}$ , hidden layer of size H and activation f with weights  $W^{(y)} \in \mathbb{R}^{H \times K}$ ,  $\boldsymbol{b}^{(y)} \in \mathbb{R}^{K}$ , and finally an output layer of size K with activation a.

In order to compute the gradient of the loss L with respect to all weights, you should proceed gradually:

- first compute  $\frac{\partial L}{\partial u}$ ,
- then compute  $\frac{\partial y}{\partial y^{(in)}}$ , where  $y^{(in)}$  are the inputs to the output layer (i.e., before applying activation function a; in other words,  $y = a(y^{(in)})$ ),
- then compute  $\frac{\partial \boldsymbol{y}^{(in)}}{\partial \boldsymbol{W}^{(y)}}$  and  $\frac{\partial \boldsymbol{y}^{(in)}}{\partial \boldsymbol{b}^{(y)}}$ , which allows us to obtain  $\frac{\partial L}{\partial \boldsymbol{W}^{(y)}} = \frac{\partial L}{\partial \boldsymbol{y}} \cdot \frac{\partial \boldsymbol{y}}{\partial \boldsymbol{y}^{(in)}} \cdot \frac{\partial \boldsymbol{y}^{(in)}}{\partial \boldsymbol{W}^{(y)}}$  and analogously  $\frac{\partial L}{\partial \boldsymbol{b}^{(y)}}$ ,
- followed by  $\frac{\partial \boldsymbol{y}^{(in)}}{\partial \boldsymbol{h}}$  and  $\frac{\partial \boldsymbol{h}}{\partial \boldsymbol{h}^{(in)}}$ ,

Refresh

• and finally using  $\frac{\partial \boldsymbol{h}^{(in)}}{\partial \boldsymbol{W}^{(h)}}$  and  $\frac{\partial \boldsymbol{h}^{(in)}}{\partial \boldsymbol{b}^{(h)}}$  to compute  $\frac{\partial L}{\partial \boldsymbol{W}^{(h)}}$  and  $\frac{\partial L}{\partial \boldsymbol{b}^{(h)}}$ .

NPFL129, Lecture 4

GLM MSE as MLE

PoissonReg



Input lave



# Hidden Layer Interpretation and Initialization



One way how to interpret the hidden layer is:

- the part from the hidden layer to the output layer is the previously used generalized linear model (linear regression, logistic regression, ...);
- the part from the inputs to the hidden layer can be considered automatically constructed features. The features are a linear mapping of the input



values followed by a nonlinearity, and the theorem on the next slide proves they can always be constructed to achieve as good a fit of the training data as is required.

Note that the weights in an MLP must be initialized randomly. If we used just zeros, all the constructed features (hidden layer nodes) would behave identically and we would never distinguish them.

Using random weights corresponds to starting with random features, which allows the SGD to make progress (improve the individual features).

# **Universal Approximation Theorem '89**

Let  $\varphi(x): \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$  be a nonconstant, bounded and nondecreasing continuous function. (Later a proof was given also for  $\varphi = \operatorname{ReLU}$  and even for any nonpolynomial function.) For any  $\varepsilon > 0$  and any continuous function  $f: [0,1]^D \to \mathbb{R}$ , there exists  $H \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $\boldsymbol{v} \in \mathbb{R}^H$ ,  $\boldsymbol{b} \in \mathbb{R}^H$  and  $\boldsymbol{W} \in \mathbb{R}^{D \times H}$ , such that if we denote

$$F(oldsymbol{x}) = oldsymbol{v}^T arphi (oldsymbol{x}^T oldsymbol{W} + oldsymbol{b}) = \sum_{i=1}^H v_i arphi (oldsymbol{x}^T oldsymbol{W}_{st, i} + b_i),$$

where arphi is applied elementwise, then for all  $oldsymbol{x} \in [0,1]^D$  :

 $|F(oldsymbol{x}) - f(oldsymbol{x})| < arepsilon.$ 

NPFL129, Lecture 4

MSE as MLE MulticlassLogisticReg

sLogisticReg PoissonReg



# **Universal Approximation Theorem for ReLUs**

Sketch of the proof:

• If a function is continuous on a closed interval, it can be approximated by a sequence of lines to arbitrary precision.



https://miro.medium.com/max/844/1\*lihbPNQgl7oKjpCsmzPDKw.png

• However, we can create a sequence of k linear segments as a sum of k ReLU units – on every endpoint a new ReLU starts (i.e., the input ReLU value is zero at the endpoint), with a tangent which is the difference between the target tangent and the tangent of the approximation until this point.

NPFL129, Lecture 4

Refresh GLM MSE as MLE

ILE Multiclass



# **Universal Approximation Theorem for Squashes**

Sketch of the proof for a squashing function  $\varphi(x)$  (i.e., nonconstant, bounded and nondecreasing continuous function like sigmoid):

- We can prove  $\varphi$  can be arbitrarily close to a hard threshold by compressing it horizontally.
  - $y=rac{1}{1+e^{-(w^Tx+b)}}$  $\sum_{i=1}^{n} w_i x_i$ https://hackernoon.com/hn-images/1\*N7dfPwbiXC-Kk4TCbfRerA.png
- Then we approximate the original function using a series of straight line segments

34/34





Refresh GLM MSE as MLE

MulticlassLogisticReg PoissonReg UniversalApproximation



